1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney		
2	MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Chief, Criminal Division		
4 5 6 7 8	MEREDITH J. EDWARDS (CABN 279301) Special Assistant United States Attorney 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5061 Fax: (408) 535-5066 E-Mail: meredith.edwards@usdoj.gov		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
13			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 12-00123 EJD		
15	Plaintiff,		
16	v.) STIPULATION AND [XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		
17	RAMIRO ARTEAGA-CORREA,) AUGUST 6, 2012 TO SEPTEMBER 10, 2012		
18	Defendant.		
19			
20			
21			
22	<u>STIPULATION</u>		
23	The defendant, RAMIRO ARTEAGA-CORREA, represented by Assistant Federal Public		
24	Defender Manuel U. Araujo, and the government, represented by Special Assistant United		
25	States Attorney Meredith Edwards, hereby stipulate that, with the Court's approval, the status		
26	hearing currently set for Monday, August 6, 2012, at 1:30 p.m., shall be continued to Monday,		
27	September 10, 2012, at 1:30 p.m. Defense counsel requires additional time to research and		
28	investigate issues relating to a possible defense. Therefore, the parties request that time be		
	STIPULATION Case No. CR 12-00123 EJD		

1	excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), for effective		
2	preparation by defense counsel.		
3			
4	Dated: July 27, 2012		
5	• ,	MEREDITH J. EDWARDS Special Assistant United States Attorney	
6		•	
7	Dated: July 27, 2012		
8	• ,	MANUEL U. ARAUJO Assistant Federal Public Defender	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15	//		
16	//		
17	//		
18	//		
19	//		
20	//		
21	//		
22	//		
23	//		
24	//		
25	//		
26	//		
27	//		
28	//		
	STIPULATION		
		-2-	

KKOKOSED ORDER

Pursuant to agreement and stipulation of the parties, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between August 6, 2012 and September 10, 2012 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Court finds, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Furthermore, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

United States District Judge

ARD J. DAVILA

13 | IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 30, 2012

ORDER EXCLUDING TIME Case No. CR 12-00123 EJD